

Method for defence against attacks taking place by means of differential power analysis

The present invention relates to a method for defence against at least one attack which is made by means of differential power analysis in at least one hyperelliptic cryptosystem, in particular in at least one hyperelliptic public key cryptosystem, which is given by at least one hyperelliptic curve of any genus over a finite field in a first group, where the hyperelliptic curve is given by at least one co-efficient.

Although until recently elliptic cryptosystems (= systems based on E[lliptic] C[urve] C[ryptography]) were considered faster than hyperelliptic cryptosystems (= systems based on H[yperelliptic] C[urve] C[ryptography]), even in the past the use of Jacobian variations of hyperelliptic curves over finite bodies was proposed as an alternative to elliptic curves for cryptography (see Neal Koblitz, "A family of Jacobians suitable for discrete log cryptosystems", in S. Goldwasser (Ed.), "Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO '88", Vol. 403 of "Lecture Notes in Computer Science", Pages 94 to 99, 21st to 25th August 1988, Springer-Verlag, 1990; Neal Koblitz, "Hyperelliptic Cryptosystems", Journal of Cryptology 1 (1989), Pages 139 to 150).

Two more recent developments however now show that the view that ECC systems were faster than HEC systems should be changed:

In September 2002, Kim Nguyen (Philips Semiconductors) presented the results of his implementation of Tanja Lange' projective formulae (see Tanja Lange, "Inversion-Free Arithmetic on Genus 2 Hyperelliptic Curves", Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2002/147, 2002, <http://eprint.iacr.org/>) in genus 2 on an experimental hardware simulator at ECC 2002 "Workshop on elliptic curve cryptography" in Essen. The results suggest the competitiveness of HEC.

Shortly afterwards J. Pelzl, T. Wollinger, J. Guajardo and C. Paar described highly efficient formulae for genus 3 curves (J. Pelzl, T. Wollinger, J. Guajardo, C. Paar, "Hyperelliptic Curve Cryptosystems: Closing the Performance Gap to Elliptic Curves"), including a drastic improvement of the doubling times in one important case and implementation on an "embedded microprocessor" (ARM7).

With the efficient implementation of HEC-based systems on hardware, in particular on chip cards, the question arises directly of the security of HEC in relation to

differential power analysis. Differential power analysis was introduced by P. Kocher, J. Jaffe and B. Jun in two works (see. P. Kocher, J. Jaffe and B. Jun, "Introduction to Differential Power Analysis and Related Attacks", <http://www.cryptography.com/dpa/technical>, 1998; P. Kocher, J. Jaffe and B. Jun, "Differential Power Analysis", Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 1666, Pages 388 to 397, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999) and is described in the cited works.

Brief descriptions of differential power analysis are also given in

- sections 3.2 and 3.3 of the work by M. Joye and C. Tymen, "Protection against Differential Analysis for Elliptic Curve Cryptography - An Algebraic Approach" in C. K. Koc, D. Naccache and C. Paar (Ed.): CHES 2001, "Lecture Notes in Computer Science", Vol. 2162, Pages 377 to 390, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2001 or

- section 3 of the work by J.-S. Coron, "Resistance against Differential Power Analysis for Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems" in C. K. Koc and C. Paar (Ed.): CHES '99, "Lecture Notes in Computer Science", Vol. 1717, Pages 292 to 302, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999.

Such DPA attacks measure the current consumption of cryptographic apparatus during processing of various inputs and set the measurements in correlation with the values of defined bits in the internal representation of data. The idea of differential power analysis is however very general and also functions with further physical values e.g. electromagnetic radiation.

The previous depictions for implementation of HEC-based cryptosystems were mainly focussed on the efficiency of implementation and neglected the resistance of implementation to attacks by means of differential power analysis.

Starting from the above disadvantages and inadequacies, and with an assessment of the outlined state of the art, the present invention is based on the object of refining a method of the type cited initially so that an essential contribution can be made towards an efficient and secure implementation of systems based on hyperelliptic cryptography.

This object is achieved by a method with the features given in claim 1.

Advantageous embodiments and suitable refinements of the present invention are characterised in the sub-claims.

The present invention is thus based on the principle of providing counter-measures for defence against attacks based on differential power analysis in the implementation of hyperelliptic cryptosystems, and in particular in that scalar multiplication

on the Jacobian variation of a hyperelliptic curve is made resistant to differential power analysis by curve randomisation (in the sense of a hyperelliptic analogon of randomisation of curves in the work cited above by M. Joye and C. Tymen) and/or by divisor randomisation (in the sense of a hyperelliptic analogon of the third counter-measure of the work cited above by J.-S. Coron: Randomisation of points - here divisor randomisation).

In this way the invention described makes an essential contribution towards efficient and secure implementation of h[yperelliptic] c[urve] c[ryptography]-based systems i.e. in the direction of robustness and security of HEC-based cryptosystems against such DPA attacks, where in addition to the techniques and feasibility, the complexity of such methods will also be considered below.

The basic concept of curve randomisation is to modify the bits of the operand in an unforeseeable way. To this end the desired calculation is performed not in the given group but in a second group, randomly generated but isomorphic; the result is then related back to the first group.

The basic concept of divisor randomisation is to modify the bits of the depiction of a reduced divisor, which is normally the base element of the cryptosystem or an intermediate result of scalar multiplication. The technique of divisor randomisation can be used whenever a group element can be depicted in several different ways.

The present invention relates to furthermore a microprocessor working according to a method of the type described above.

The present invention further relates to a device, in particular a chip card and/or in particular a smart card, having at least one microprocessor according to the type described above.

The present invention finally relates to the use of:

- a method according to the type described above and/or
- at least one microprocessor according to the type described above and/or
- at least one device, in particular at least one chip card and/or in particular at

least one smart card, according to the type described above,

in the defence of at least one attack made by means of differential power analysis on at least one hyperelliptic cryptosystem, in particular on at least one hyperelliptic public key cryptosystem; here a public key cryptosystem normally uses an asymmetric encryption method.

As already described above, there are various ways of structuring and refining the teaching of the present invention advantageously. For this reference is made to the claims following from claim 1.

5

The invention will be further described with reference to examples of embodiments shown in the drawing to which however the invention is not restricted.

Fig. 1 shows diagrammatically an embodiment example of a method according to the present invention based on a principle of curve randomisation.

10

Before explaining the method of curve randomisation below on the basis of a first embodiment example, for an application-oriented introduction to the theory of hyperelliptic curves reference is made to "A. Menezes, Y.-H. Wu and R. Zuccherato, "An Elementary Introduction to Hyperelliptic Curves", Appendix in Neal Koblitz, "Algebraic aspects of cryptography", Algorithms and Computations in Mathematics, Vol. 3, pages 155 to 178, Springer-Verlag, 1998.

15

The notation used below deviates from this work by following the notation according to:

20

- Tanja Lange, "Inversion-Free Arithmetic on Genus 2 Hyperelliptic Curves", Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2002/147, 2002, <http://eprint.iacr.org/>,

- Tanja Lange, "Weighted Co-ordinates on Genus 2 Hyperelliptic Curves", Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2002/153, 2002, <http://eprint.iacr.org/>, and

25

- J. Pelzl, T. Wollinger, J. Guajardo, C. Paar, "Hyperelliptic Curve Cryptosystems: Closing the Performance Gap to Elliptic Curves".

Starting from two hyperelliptic curves C, \tilde{C} of genus $g \geq 1$ over the finite field K , a K -isomorphism $\phi: C \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ can clearly be expanded into a K -isomorphism of the Jacobian variation $\phi: J(C) \rightarrow J(\tilde{C})$. Instead of calculating $Q = nD$ in $J(C)(K)$, where n is a natural number and D an element of $J(C)(K)$, then

$$Q = \phi^{-1}(n \phi(D)) \quad (1)$$

30

is executed.

This means in other words that the diagram in Fig. 1 is commutative and that in this diagram according to the invention the longer route via $J(\tilde{C})(K)$ is taken (the reference "x n" in Fig. 1 means "multiplied with n").

In this context the counter-measure implemented by this K-isomorphism of the
 5 Jacobian variations to protect against attacks made on the basis of differential power analysis is particularly successful if the depictions of the co-efficients of curve C and the elements of $J(C)(K)$ differ greatly from the depictions of the images under ϕ . This can for example be achieved by multiplication of all operands with random figures.

The description below shows not only that this is possible, but also that only a
 10 few field operations are required for this.

One practical implementation of the principle outlined above of curve randomisation by means of general isomorphism of curves first assumes that

- $g \geq 1$ is a natural figure
- K is a finite field and
- 15 - C, \tilde{C} are hyperelliptic curves of genus g , which are defined by Weierstraß equations

$$C : y^2 + h(x)y - f(x) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\tilde{C} : y^2 + \tilde{h}(x)y - \tilde{f}(x) = 0 \quad (3)$$

over the field K where

- the polynomial f, \tilde{f} are standardised by degree $2g+1$ in x and
- 20 - $h(x), \tilde{h}(x)$ has maximum degree g .

The hyperelliptic curve C (like the hyperelliptic curve \tilde{C}) has no singular affine points i.e. there are no pairs $(x, y) \in K \times K$, which simultaneously fulfil the equation $y^2 + h(x)y - f(x) = 0$ and the partially derived equations $2y + h(x) = 0$ and $h'(x)y - f'(x) = 0$. An equivalent condition is that the discriminant $4f(x) + h(x)^2$ does not vanish
 25 (see Theorem 1.7 from P. Lockhart, "On the discriminant of a hyperelliptic curve", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 342 (1994), No. 2, Pages 729 to 752, MR 94f:11054). Similar conditions apply to \tilde{C} .

The non-affine point of the projective completion of C (or \tilde{C}) is known as "infinite". All K-curve isomorphisms $\phi: C \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ can be described by variable transformation
 30 of the form

$$\phi : (x, y) \mapsto (s^{-2}x + b, s^{-(2g+1)}y + A(x)) \quad (4)$$

(see Proposition 1.2 from P. Lockhart, "On the discriminant of a hyperelliptic curve", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 342 (1994), No. 2, Pages 729 to 752, MR 94f:11054), for suitable $s \in K^x$, $b \in K$ and $A(x) \in K[x]$ of degree $\leq g$.

5 If x or y in equation (3) can be replaced by $s^{-2}x + b$ or $s^{-(2g+1)}y + A(x)$, by comparison with equation (2) it can be concluded that

$$\begin{cases} h(x) = s^{2g+1}(\tilde{h}(s^{-2}x + b) + 2A(x)) \\ f(x) = s^{2(2g+1)}(\tilde{f}(s^{-2}x + b) - A(x)^2 - \tilde{h}(s^{-2}x + b)A(x)). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The inverse transformation is

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{h}(x) = s^{-(2g+1)}h(\hat{x}) - 2A(\hat{x}) \\ \tilde{f}(x) = s^{-2(2g+1)}f(\hat{x}) + s^{-(2g+1)}h(\hat{x})A(\hat{x}) - A(\hat{x})^2 \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where $\hat{x} = s^2(x - b)$.

10 The isomorphism feature $\phi: C \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ induces an isomorphism of group variations $\phi: J(C) \rightarrow J(\tilde{C})$. The Jacobian variation of a curve C is canonically isomorphic to the ideal class group $Cl^0(C)$, which is more suitable for explicit calculations; consequently it must be found how ϕ operates as function $Cl^0(C) \rightarrow Cl^0(\tilde{C})$.

15 It should be noted here that in D. Cantor, "Computing in the Jacobian of a hyperelliptic curve", Mathematics of Computation, 48 (1987), Pages 95 to 101, algorithms were developed for the calculations in the ideal class group with the depiction in D. Mumford, "Tata Lectures on Theta II", Birkhuser, 1984 which are outlined briefly below:

Let D be the sole main divisor of degree $\leq g$ in a given divisor class to C , i.e.

$$D = \sum_{P \in S} m_P P - (\sum_{P \in S} m_P)_{\text{infinite}},$$

20 - where the finite point set S is a part set of $C(K)$ and is designated as a carrier of D and

- where the multiples m_i are positive integers with $\sum_{P \in S} m_P \leq g$.

Then the ideal class belonging to main divisor D is given by a pair of clearly defined polynomials $U(t)$, $V(t) \in K[t]$ with the following properties:

$g \geq \deg_t U \geq \deg_t V$, U is standardised and

$$\begin{cases} U(t) = \prod_{P \in S} (t - x_P)^{m_P} \\ V(x_P) = y_P \text{ for all } P \in S \\ U(t) \text{ divides } V(t)^2 + V(t)h(t) - f(t) \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

According to the following nomenclature $[U(t), V(t)]$ depict the reduced divisor D .

The aim is to find two polynomials $\tilde{U}(t), \tilde{V}(t) \in K[t]$ which have similar properties $U(t), V(t)$ but belong to divisor $\phi(D) = \sum_{P \in S} m_P \phi(P) - (\sum_{P \in S} m_P)_{\infty}$ to C instead D .

5 In other words this means that for all field extensions L/K the following relations apply:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D = \sum_{P \in S} m_P P - \left(\sum_{P \in S} m_P \right) \infty & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \sum_{P \in S} m_P \phi(P) - \left(\sum_{P \in S} m_P \right) \infty = \phi(D) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ [U(t), V(t)] & \xrightarrow{\phi} & [\tilde{U}(t), \tilde{V}(t)] \end{array}$$

It is clear how the desired polynomials must be constructed. Clearly:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}(t) &= \prod_{P \in S} (t - x_{\phi(P)})^{m_P} = \prod_{P \in S} (t - s^{-2}x_P - b)^{m_P} \\ &= s^{-2 \sum_{P \in S} m_P} U(s^2(t - b)) = s^{-2 \deg U} U(s^2(t - b)). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Furthermore $\tilde{V}(x_{\phi(P)}) = y_{\phi(P)}$ for all $P \in S$, i.e.

$$\tilde{V}(s^{-2}x_P + b) = s^{-(2g+1)}y_P + A(x_P) = s^{-(2g+1)}V(x_P) + A(x_P).$$

10

A suitable candidate is

$$\tilde{V}(t) = s^{-(2g+1)}V(s^2(t - b)) + A(s^2(t - b)) \quad (9)$$

In fact equation (8) and equation (9) give the correct answer; this is due to the unambiguity of the depiction of a reduced divisor: $\tilde{U}(t)$ and $\tilde{V}(t)$ are defined over K , $\deg \tilde{V} = \deg V < \deg U = \deg \tilde{U}$ and the finding that $\tilde{U}(t)$ in fact divides $\tilde{V}(t)^2 + \tilde{V}(t)\tilde{h}(t) - \tilde{f}(t)$ is easy.

15

The case is now considered below where K is a field of uneven characteristic.

It is assumed that $h(x) = \tilde{h}(x) = 0$, then the defining equations with the variable transformation according to $y \rightarrow y - h(x)/2$ and $y \rightarrow y - \tilde{h}(x)/2$ can always be brought into this form. The advantage is that the Cantor algorithm runs much more quickly and for the same reason explicit formulae in uneven characteristic were developed under the above assumption. The equations for C, \tilde{C} are

20

$$C : y^2 - f(x) = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\tilde{C} : y^2 - \tilde{f}(x) = 0. \quad (11)$$

This means in equation (6), that $A(x) = 0$.

If $\text{char } K \neq 2g+1$ then furthermore it can be assumed that the co-efficient f_{2g}

25 (and that in $\tilde{f}(x)$) belonging to the second highest power of (x) in $\tilde{f}(x)$ vanishes as a variable

transformation according $x \rightarrow x - f_{2g}/(2g+1)$ can always be carried out. In this case by virtue of equation (6), necessarily $b = 0$.

Thus ϕ is of the type

$$\phi : (x, y) \mapsto (s^{-2}x, s^{-(2g+1)}y)$$

- 5 with $s \in K^\times$. With regard to the uneven characteristic, only isomorphisms of this type need to be considered, even if $\text{char } K = 2g+1$. The formula for \tilde{f} is then

$$\tilde{f}(x) = s^{-2(2g+1)} f(s^2 x).$$

This randomisation changes all co-efficients of the Weierstraß equation and the two polynomials representing the reduced divisor (excluding those hard-wired at 1),
10 namely

$$\tilde{U}(t) = s^{-2 \deg_t U} U(s^2 t), \quad \tilde{V}(t) = s^{-(2g+1)} V(s^2 t).$$

Consequently this randomisation can be considered a secure counter-measure for defence against attacks based on differential power analysis in implementations of hyperelliptic cryptosystems with a field K of uneven characteristic.

- 15 In an explicit description of this very rapid curve can randomisation achieved by means of an implementary trick, with a field K of uneven characteristic first a random element $s \in K^\times$ is selected and then its multiplicative inverse calculated. This is because s^{-1} is required for ϕ and s for ϕ^1 .

ϕ is now described in detail below. From

$$f(x) = x^{2g+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{2g-1} f_i x^i$$

20

we can get

$$\tilde{f}(x) = x^{2g+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{2g-1} x^{2i-2(2g+1)} f_i x^i.$$

For general $U(t)$ and $V(t)$

$$U(t) = t^g + \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} U_i t^i \quad \text{and} \quad V(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} V_i t^i,$$

25

so that

$$\tilde{U}(t) = t^g + \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} s^{2i-2g} U_i t^i \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{V}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} s^{2i-(2g+1)} V_i t^i.$$

In order to apply ϕ to the curve and to a base divisor $[U(t), V(t)]$, s^{-k} is calculated for $k = 2, 3, \dots, 2g+1$ in succession:

- if k is even, then $U_{g-k/2}$ and (if k is not equal to 2) $f_{2g+1-k/2}$ is multiplied by s^{-k} ,
- if k is uneven, $V_{g-(k-1)/2}$ is multiplied by s^{-k} .

For $k = 2g+2, 2g+4, \dots, 2(2g+1)$, s^{-k} is calculated by repeated multiplication with s^{-2} and $f_{2g+1-k/2}$ multiplied by s^{-k} . Together these are $7g+1$ multiplications; ϕ^{-1} requires only $4g$ multiplications in K .

If the curve or at least one base field is established, there is also an implementary trick which can be used to avoid calculating the inversion s^{-1} of the element s on each use of the cryptographic device.

From the outset, during the initialisation phase of the cryptographic device a pair of field elements (s_0, s_0^{-1}) are generated at random together with several further such pairs (K_i, K_i^{-1}) and stored in the E²PROM.

Then before each cryptographic operation an index i is selected at random; thus (s_0, s_0^{-1}) is replaced in the E²PROM by $(K_i s_0, K_i^{-1} \cdot s_0^{-1})$. The latter pair is then used instead of (s, s^{-1}) for curve randomisation in the current run of the cryptographic device.

To summarise it can be found that curve randomisation in uneven characteristic is an effective and efficient protective measure against attacks based on the method of differential power analysis. The total count of the necessary field operations in K is $11g+1$. To summarise it can be found that curve randomisation in uneven characteristic is an effective and efficient protective measure against attacks based on the method of differential power analysis. The total count of the necessary field operations in K is $11g+1$.

In practice this is comparable to the number of field operations for individual group operations and often far fewer than indicated by the formulae in

- Tanja Lange, "Inversion-Free Arithmetic on Genus 2 Hyperelliptic Curves", Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2002/147, 2002, <http://eprint.iacr.org/>,

- Tanja Lange, "Weighted Co-ordinates on Genus 2 Hyperelliptic Curves", Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2002/153, 2002, <http://eprint.iacr.org/> and

- J. Pelzl, T. Wollinger, J. Guajardo, C. Paar, "Hyperelliptic Curve Cryptosystems: Closing the Performance Gap to Elliptic Curves".

The arguments presented above with regard to the general isomorphisms of curves also apply unchanged for the case discussed below, where K is a field of even characteristic. In this case however $h(x)\tilde{h}(x)$ must not equal zero; in other words this means that the use of general isomorphisms is less efficient than in the case of uneven characteristic.

Instead of the general isomorphisms according to equation (4), it is assumed that $b = 0$ and $A(x) = 0$ and worked as in the case of uneven characteristic. The isomorphisms of the form

$$\phi : (x, y) \mapsto (s^{-2}x, s^{-(2g+1)}y) \quad (12)$$

for general $s \in F_{2d} \setminus F_2$ randomise all co-efficients of the equation as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{h}(x) = s^{-(2g+1)}h(s^2x) \\ \tilde{f}(x) = s^{-2(2g+1)}f(s^2x) \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

As in the explicit description above of the very rapid curve randomisation achieved by means of an implementary trick with a field K of uneven characteristic, also with an explicit description of the very rapid curve randomisation performed by means of an implementary trick with a field K of even characteristic of

$$f(x) = x^{2g+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{2g-1} f_i x^i \quad \text{und} \quad h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^g h_i x^i,$$

then

$$\tilde{f}(x) = x^{2g+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{2g-1} s^{2i-2(2g+1)} f_i x^i \quad \text{und} \quad \tilde{h}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^g s^{2i-(2g+1)} h_i x^i$$

and the formulae for \tilde{U} , \tilde{V} again read

$$\tilde{U}(t) = t^g + \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} s^{2i-2g} U_i t^i \quad \text{und} \quad \tilde{V}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} s^{2i-(2g+1)} V_i t^i.$$

It can be concluded that no general isomorphisms of the type according to equation (4) are required but that those of the type according to equation (12) suffice to randomise efficiently all bits of the internal depictions.

The co-efficients of $\tilde{h}(x)$ are calculated from the co-efficients of $h(x)$ in the same way as the co-efficients of $\tilde{V}(t)$: For $k = 3, 5, \dots, 2g+1$ then $V_{g-(k-1)/2}$ and $h_{g-(k-1)/2}$ are multiplied by s^{-k} ; also h_g is multiplied by s^{-1} ; this means that at most $g+1$ field operations more are required than in the case of uneven characteristic and all costs for the use of ϕ are

$8g+2$ multiplications after s has been selected and s^{-1} calculated. The implementary trick described above is not necessary here as the inversion is sufficiently fast in binary bodies.

Below a case distinction is examined for constant h and for non-constant h but defined via F_2 :

5 For even characteristic it must be noted which problems occur if the co-efficients of the defining equations are restricted for throughput reasons, where the simplest case should be considered that $h(x)$ is a non-vanishing constant, since in equation (6) $\tilde{h}(x)$ is also constant and non-vanishing.

10 Now however it is a known result of algebraic geometry that curves with equation $y^2 + cy = f(x)$ with non-vanishing c and with $\deg f = 5$ supersingular (see Theorem 9 in S. D. Galbraith, "Supersingular curves in cryptography", in C. Boyd (Ed.), ASIACRYPT 2001, "Lecture Notes in Computer Science", Vol. 2248, Pages 495 to 513, Springer-Verlag, 2001) are not suitable for the cryptographic applications of interest here.

15 In contrast no hyperelliptic curve of genus $g = 3$ in even characteristic is supersingular (see J. Scholten and H. J. Zhu, "Hyperelliptic curves in characteristic 2", Inter. Math. Research Notices, 17 (2002), Pages 905 to 917), thus in principle curves with equation $y^2 + cy = f(x)$ with non-vanishing c and with $\deg f = 7$ can be used on the condition that the expansion degree and group order are selected suitably.

20 Although in the work submitted by J. Pelzl, T. Wollinger, J. Guajardo and C. Paar "Hyperelliptic Curve Cryptosystems: Closing the Performance Gap to Elliptic Curves" gives a very rapid doubling formula for the case $h(x) = 1$, the speed of divisor doubling can be substantially accelerated also if $h(x)$ is a non-vanishing constant. If $\tilde{h}(x) = s^{-(2g+1)}c = s^{-7}c$; this makes the case of curves of genus $g = 2$ important.

25 In the case of a non-constant h , the co-efficients of $h(x)$ for reasons of speed are often selected in F_2 (see for example Tanja Lange, "Inversion-Free Arithmetic on Genus 2 Hyperelliptic Curves", Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2002/147, 2002, <http://eprint.iacr.org/>, or Tanja Lange, "Weighted Co-ordinates on Genus 2 Hyperelliptic Curves", Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2002/153, 2002, <http://eprint.iacr.org/>).

30 In this case of a non-constant h defined however over F_2 , on the basis of equation (6) there is an equivalence with the following question: If $h(x) \in F_2[x]$, for which $b \in K$ and for which $s \in K^\times$ is $\tilde{h}(x) = s^{-(2g+1)}h(s^2(x-b)) \in F_2[x]$?

If $r = (2g+1) - 2 \deg h$, the leading co-efficient s^r of $\tilde{h}(x)$ is equal to one, since this leading co-efficient does not vanish; figure r is uneven, positive and $\leq 2g-1$.

The cryptosystem must resist the index calculus attack by Gaudry (see P. Gaudry, "An algorithm for solving the discrete log problem on hyperelliptic curves", in "Advances in Cryptology - Eurocrypt 2000", Pages 19 to 34, "Lecture Notes" in Computer Science, Vol. 1807, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2000) i.e. if $g \leq 4$; then $r \leq 7$, and for r there are only very few possible values; this makes its randomisation unnecessary.

Let the extension degree $d = [K : F_2]$.

In this context it should be noted that for protection against attacks by Weil descent (see G. Frey, "How to disguise an elliptic curve (Weil descent)", Talk at ECC '98, Waterloo, 1998 (slides available at <http://www.cacr.math.uwaterloo.ca/conferences/1998/ecc98/slides.html>); G. Frey, "Applications of arithmetical geometry to cryptographic constructions", in "Finite fields and applications (Augsburg, 1999)", Pages 128 to 161, Springer, Berlin, 2001) for extension degree d either a primary number p is selected in the order of $\geq 160/g$ or twice a primary number p in the order of $\geq 80/g$.

The possible values of s are zero digits of irreducible factors of $X^r - 1$, the degree divides by d . If $d = p \geq 160/g \geq 40$ (= preferred case), then $s = 1$; if $d = 2p$ with $p \geq 80/g \geq 20$, s can only be a zero digit of a factor via F_2 of $X^r - 1$ of degree 1 or 2. A rapid listing of such factors (it should be noted that r is uneven and ≤ 7) shows that either $s = 1$ or $r = 3$ and $s^2 + s + 1 = 0$. If two co-efficients of $h(x)$ do not vanish, then always $s = 1$.

If we now start from $\sigma : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha^2$ as Frobenius automorphism of K/F_2 , then $h(-b^{2^j}) = h(-b)^{2^j} = h(-b) \in F_2$ for all j , because $\tilde{h}(x) = h(x-b) \in F_2[x]$. This means in other words that all conjugates of $-b$ are under the Frobenius solutions of $h(x) - h(-b) = 0$. If b is not an element of F_2 there are at least $p \geq 80/g$ such conjugations, wherein the degree of $h(x)$ is at most $g \leq 4$. For this reason b must be an element of F_2 : there are only two possibilities for b , so randomisation of b is pointless.

It can thus be concluded that the relevant isomorphisms are of type

$$\phi : (x, y) \mapsto (x, y + A(x))$$

where $A(x) \in K[x]$ is of degree $\leq g$.

In the sense of a hyperelliptic analogon, the situation here is similar to the situation described in the said work by M. Joye and C. Tymen in the randomisation of elliptic curves as only one of the two polynomials or only half of the co-ordinates can be randomised efficiently.

In fact the situation is even worse as according to equation (6) not all co-efficients of f can be randomised to \tilde{f} , which increases the probability of a successful attack based on differential power analysis if curve randomisation alone is used.

To summarise, for the method described above of curve randomisation it can be found that this counter-measure for hyperelliptic curves of genus 2 in even characteristic

- either is not adequate because too few co-efficients can be randomised,
- or inhibits the power of the cryptographic system as the counter-measure uses the general isomorphisms according to equation (4) and leaves the co-efficients of h lying outside (4) F^2 .

In the case of genus 3 the curves for equation $y^2 + cy = f(x)$ and general isomorphisms can be used. In this case it is sufficient to fix in equation (4) $b = 0$ and $A(x) = 0$ and proceed as at the end of the previous description for the case of uneven characteristic in order to randomise all co-efficients reasonably.

In all further cases other techniques are recommended such as divisor randomisation which also works in uneven characteristic and which is explained below as a second embodiment example which can be implemented

- in combination with the first embodiment example of curve randomisation or
- independently of the first embodiment of curve randomisation.

In the technique of divisor randomisation the bits of the depiction of a reduced divisor which is normally the base element of the cryptosystem or an intermediate result of scalar multiplication are modified. The technique of divisor randomisation is used if a group element can be depicted in several different ways.

Noteworthy examples from the prior art are the projective co-ordinates on elliptic curves: two triplets (X, Y, Z) and (X', Y', Z') represent the same point if a non-vanishing element s exists in the base field such that $X = sX'$, $Y = sY'$ and $Z = sZ'$. In the Jacobian co-ordinates (see D. V. Chudnovsky and G. V. Chudnovsky, "Sequences of numbers generated by addition in formal groups and new primality and factoring tests", *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 7 (1987), Pages 385 to 434), two triplets (X, Y, Z) and (X', Y', Z') represent the same point if $X = s^2X'$, $Y = s^3Y'$ and $Z = sZ'$ with $s \in K^\times$.

Recently alternative co-ordinate systems were proposed for hyperelliptic curves of genus 2. An inversion-free system by Miyamoto et al. (see Y. Miyamoto, H. Doi, K. Matsuo, J. Chao and S. Tsuji, "A fast addition algorithm of genus two hyperelliptic curve", in *Proceedings of SCIS 2002, IEICE Japan*, Pages 497 to 502, 2002, in Japanese), which operates on the hyperelliptic correspondence of the projective co-ordinates for elliptic

curves, has been extended and improved by Lange (see Tanja Lange, "Inversion-Free Arithmetic on Genus 2 Hyperelliptic Curves", Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2002/147, 2002, <http://eprint.iacr.org/>), who also developed a correspondence of Jacobian co-ordinates, namely the weighted co-ordinates (see Tanja Lange, "Weighted Co-ordinates on Genus 2 Hyperelliptic Curves", Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2002/153, 2002, <http://eprint.iacr.org/>). No similar systems are known for genus 3.

The greater the genus of the curve, the smaller - for the same group order - is the base body, and hence the speed ratio of inversions to multiplications is smaller. This makes inversion-free formulae less attractive for genus 3 as one inversion is exchanged for many multiplications. However there are already efficient bit randomisation processes for curves of genus 3 both for uneven characteristic and for even characteristic.

In projective co-ordinates (genus 2) a divisor D with associated polynomial pair is shown as a quintuplet $[U_1, U_0, V_1, V_0, Z]$ where $U(t) = t^2 + U_1t/Z + U_0/Z$ and $V(t) = V_1t/Z + V_0/Z$.

The divisor randomisation works as follows: A random $s \in K^x$ is selected and the following conversion applied:

$$[U_1, U_0, V_1, V_0, Z] \rightarrow [sU_1, sU_0, sV_1, sV_0, sZ].$$

In weighted co-ordinates a divisor D is shown by a sextuplet $[U_1, U_0, V_1, V_0, Z_1, Z_2]$ where $U(t) = t^2 + U_1t/Z_1^2 + U_0/Z_1^2$ and $V(t) = V_1t/(Z_1^3Z_2) + V_0/(Z_1^3Z_2)$.

To make a base divisor or an intermediate calculation invisible, two elements s_1, s_2 in K^x are selected at random and the following transformation performed:

$$[U_1, U_0, V_1, V_0, Z_1, Z_2] \rightarrow [s_1^2U_1, s_1^2U_0, s_1^3s_2V_1, s_1^3s_2V_0, s_1Z_1, s_2Z_2]$$

If the additional optional co-ordinates

$$z_1 = Z_1^2, z_2 = Z_2^2, z_3 = Z_1Z_2 \text{ and } z_4 = z_1z_2 = z_3^2$$

are used, these additional optional co-ordinates must also be updated; the quickest way of updating is to recover them from the images of Z_1 and Z_2 by three quadrations and a multiplication.

The two measures proposed according to the invention namely the measure of curve randomisation (= first embodiment example) and the measure of divisor randomisation (= second embodiment example) each individually and in combination reinforce the hyperelliptic cryptosystems against differential power analysis. Both the technique of curve randomisation and the technique of divisor randomisation are simple to introduce and only have a negligible effect on the throughput.

The method according to the first embodiment example i.e. curve randomisation, transports the scalar multiplication in the Jacobian variation into a randomly selected isomorphic group. Scalar multiplication is performed in this second group and the result of the scalar multiplication returned to the first group. The method of curve randomisation can be applied to curves of any genus.

The method according to the second embodiment example, i.e. divisor randomisation, is a hyperelliptic variant of Coron's third counter-measure. Divisor randomisation can only be applied in curve families of which the co-ordinate systems are known for group operations in the associated Jacobian variation which correspond to the elliptic projective or Jacobian.

The two counter-measures described above for defence of attacks based on differential power analysis on implementations of hyperelliptic cryptosystems can be used independently of each other or simultaneously.

REFERENCE LIST:

	C	hyperelliptic curve	
	\tilde{C}	transformed hyperelliptic curve	
	D	divisor, in particular reduced divisor	
	g	genus	
5	J	Jacobian variation	
	K	field, in particular finite field	
	n	scalar	
	s	element, in particular non-vanishing element	
	s_1	first element, in particular non-vanishing first element	
10	s_2	second element, in particular non-vanishing second element	
	t	variable	
	ϕ	depiction	
	ϕ^1	inverse depiction	
	$[U_1, U_0, V_1, V_0, Z]$	quintuplet	
15	$[sU_1, sU_0, sV_1, sV_0, sZ]$	converted quintuplet	
	$[U_1, U_0, V_1, V_0, Z_1, Z_2]$	sextuplet	
	$[s_1^2 U_1, s_1^2 U_0, s_1^3 s_2 V_1, s_1^3 s_2 V_0, s_1 Z_1, s_2 Z_2]$	converted sextuplet	